

has never limited the time he gives to his most important interest, his family. He and his wife, Deborah, have three children: Staci, Christopher, and Samantha.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and my distinguished colleagues join me in congratulating Mr. Nannenga for his professional achievements and his many years of dedication to the betterment of our community. We in Northwest Indiana are truly thankful to have someone of Gerry's talents on our team. His work in the labor movement provided workers in Indiana opportunities they might not have otherwise had. Gerry Nannenga's leadership has and will continue to keep the region's labor force strong and help keep America working.

#### TAX TREATMENT OF MOTORSPORTS

**HON. J. D. HAYWORTH**

OF ARIZONA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, July 25, 2003*

Mr. HAYWORTH. Mr. Speaker, today I am joined by Congressman JEFFERSON and eleven of our colleagues in introducing legislation that would clarify the tax treatment of motorsports properties under current law.

The IRS has created various categories of depreciable assets and assigned various depreciable lives to each. Since at least 1962, the IRS has listed "entertainment facilities" as one such class, and has excluded racetracks from its definition. However, in 1974 the IRS established a new category of assets called "theme and amusement facilities" that included a portion of the old entertainment facility category, but also expanded the types of assets that qualify as theme and amusement facilities. While retaining the exclusion of racetracks from the "entertainment facilities" category, the IRS dropped the exclusion from the theme and amusements category.

Since that time, the racetrack industry has relied on the theme and amusement facility category for depreciating investments. While it has varied over the years, today the depreciation period is seven years.

These taxpayers have made significant investments based on their reasonable reliance on the depreciation period for theme and amusement assets. Now, many years later, after many tax audits and reviews of tracks and track owners across the country, the IRS is questioning the right of motorsport facilities to be treated as theme and amusement assets.

Motorsports entertainment facilities have a tremendous positive economic impact, both regionally and nationally. Racing promotes travel and tourism, and for some venues, a race week or weekend significantly boosts the local economy by drawing tens of thousands of fans. The building and upgrading of these facilities is a capital-intensive activity—and taxpayers who make these investments deserve certainty in the manner in which our tax laws allow investments to be written off.

This clarification of the Internal Revenue Code will recognize the long-term reliance of this large and growing industry on a broadly accepted interpretation of tax law. The legislation will also provide the owners of motorsports entertainment facilities with the certainty they need to make new investments. I urge

my colleagues to support this important and needed legislation.

#### SHELBYVILLE CHAUTAUQUA CENTENNIAL

**HON. JOHN SHIMKUS**

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, July 25, 2003*

Mr. SHIMKUS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize the citizens of Shelbyville, Illinois and their celebration of the Shelbyville Chautauqua's Centennial. The Chautauqua Auditorium is on the National Register of Historic Places, and on August 6th, the town will be celebrating the building's 100th birthday.

In the late 1800's and early 1900's, thousands of people would gather in Shelbyville every August for the 2-week celebration known as the Chautauqua. It was the event of the summer, and families from around Central Illinois drove miles by horse and buggy to attend. The Chautauqua started off as a kind of community tent meeting where people who lived miles apart would gather, share news, and visit for this one time every year.

Then, in 1903, the Shelbyville Chautauqua Auditorium opened in Shelbyville's Forest Park. The one-of-a-kind structure boasts a huge, round auditorium that is free of interior support posts that would obstruct the audience's view, instead relying on a unique series of beams in the ceiling. It is the largest building of its kind anywhere in the world.

With the new auditorium, the event transformed from a community tent meeting into a larger event where families came to hear many of the famous speakers and entertainers of the time. Presidential candidate William Jennings Bryan, evangelist Billy Sunday, and future President William Howard Taft all had turns taking the platform in Shelbyville.

The Shelbyville Chautauqua closed down in 1930, but the memories live on in events such as this Centennial Celebration. The Chautauqua brought a sense of community to the area that is unknown in our day, but with events like this, that sense is returning. I wish the people of Shelbyville the best in their celebration of a truly historic building that exemplifies what was so right about community life in the early 1900's.

#### IN HONOR OF EVE BUTLER-GEE, HOUSE JOURNAL CLERK, ON HER RETIREMENT FROM THE HOUSE

**HON. FRANK R. WOLF**

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, July 25, 2003*

Mr. WOLF. Mr. Speaker, I want to call to the attention of the House the impending retirement of Eve Butler-Gee, the Journal Clerk of the House, who is a resident of the 10th District of Virginia. According to research by the Congressional Research Service of the Library of Congress, Eve is the first woman Journal Clerk in the history of the U.S. House of Representatives.

She began her professional career in the House in her early 20's. She went on to work for a charitable foundation in the middle of her

working life, then returned to the House in 1987 as the Minority Enrolling Clerk of the House, appointed by then Minority Leader Bob Michel of Illinois. She served in that position for eight years, before her appointment in 1997 as Chief Journal Clerk.

Eve and three assistant clerks are responsible for keeping the Journal of the House proceedings at the rostrum on the House floor. As you know, Mr. Speaker, the House Journal is the official record of the parliamentary proceedings of the House and is mandated to be kept pursuant to Article 1, Section 5, of the United States Constitution. The first order of business of each day, following the prayer by the House chaplain, is the vote on the Chair's approval of the Journal of the last day's proceedings.

The Journal Clerk's office also publishes the Journal of each session of Congress for use as a reference for the House parliamentarians, Members of Congress, regional libraries, state governments and the general public. During Eve's tenure, the publication of the House Journal has been brought up-to-date and publication procedures modernized.

During her time at the House rostrum, Eve has been a witness to history as the House voted on the Gulf War resolution and a presidential impeachment, authorized the use of force in Iraq, and grieved a gunman's killing of two U.S. Capitol Police officers. She was also in the Capitol when it was evacuated during the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, and like all offices on Capitol Hill, she had to deal with concerns raised by an anthrax attack.

Both Eve and her husband, Tom Gee, are active in the Episcopal Church. Eve serves on the Vestry and as head verger at the Church of the Holy Comforter in Vienna, Virginia, while Tom is director of lay liturgists. After her retirement, Eve plans to be a volunteer verger at the Washington National Cathedral. She also looks forward to spending her retirement years traveling, pursuing interests in writing and community theater, and enjoying the company of her family, including her daughter and son-in-law, Lora and John Williams, and grandson, Evan, welcoming a new grandchild expected in December.

Mr. Speaker, on behalf of the entire House—members and staff—we express our deep gratitude to Eve for her public service career and wish her the best in her retirement.

#### THE REAL MEANING OF RESPECT

**HON. JANICE D. SCHAKOWSKY**

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, July 25, 2003*

Mr. SCHAKOWSKY. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my opposition and my outrage over the rule designed to govern the debate over the FY04 VA-HUD Appropriations bill. This rule, by not allowing two amendments to be made in order, severely restricts the ability of this body to take care of its obligations. These two amendments, one by Representative SMITH of New Jersey and Representative EVANS of Illinois would have increased the funding for veterans' health care to the level that we promised in the budget resolution passed earlier this year. The other, by Representative EDWARDS of Texas, would

have provided an additional \$2.2 billion for VA medical care by reducing the recently-passed tax cuts for taxpayers with yearly incomes in excess of a million dollars. Both amendments were shut-down by the Rules Committee on a party line vote. I am shocked that the House Republican leadership would make such a choice, but I am not surprised.

Repeatedly, we have seen the Republicans in this body choose to break their promises to millions of Americans so that they can give tax cuts to the already wealthy. They passed a Labor, Health and Human Services and Education Appropriations bill that left millions of children behind by failing to live up to their promise to provide enough funding to ensure that every child would receive a decent education. And now my colleagues on the other side of the aisle have turned their backs on veterans so that they can give their rich friends a slap on the back. I have heard my colleagues from the other side of the aisle speak at length about their deep respect for the service our veterans have performed for our country. But, I must ask if breaking promises to our veterans is the Republican way of showing them that respect. Is it respectful to mouth the words of respect while allowing our veterans to wait months for doctors' appointments and pay more for services?

These amendments offered a very clear choice: would you rather provide enough money to ensure that veterans receive decent healthcare services or would you rather provide massive tax cuts that benefit millionaires? Who really cares about our nation's veterans? Who is really concerned about the people who have honorably served our country? Our veterans can not afford any more empty respect. I ask my colleagues to put the money where their mouths are and make the financial commitment to get veterans and their families the benefits they deserve.

I urge my colleagues to vote against this rule, to work to fulfill our obligations to our veterans and to show them our real respect.

#### RECOGNIZING THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF REBECA RANGEL

**HON. HILDA L. SOLIS**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Friday, July 25, 2003*

Ms. SOLIS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Rebeca Rangel, a strong willed, intelligent and dedicated individual who I have been fortunate to have on my staff for close to two years.

Rebeca began in my office as a Congressional Hispanic Caucus Institute Fellow where she worked on a variety of issues, and acted as my liaison to the Congressional Hispanic Caucus. While in my office, she impressed me with her maturity and the ability to quickly grasp ideas. This is why when her fellowship ended, I did not hesitate to offer Rebeca the position of Legislative Aide. In this capacity, she dealt with issues on Cuba, banking, housing and Hispanic issues.

Showing her aptitude and insight by constantly challenging and questioning the issues brought before her, Rebeca quickly progressed in my office to the position of Legislative Assistant. With this promotion came additional responsibilities. Rebeca took on edu-

cation, budget and women's issues. She assumed these responsibilities with style and grace. Working tirelessly on issues that are close to my heart and hers, Rebeca has played a key role in helping me to promote bills such as H. Con. Res. 177, honoring Dolores Huerta Resolution, the Multi-Cultural Domestic Violence Prevention Act and the Domestic Violence Courts Assistance Act. Rebeca has also been a tireless worker in my efforts to shed light on the unsolved rapes and killings of young women and girls in Ciudad Juarez, Mexico.

As Rebeca leaves my office to pursue her Masters degree at Harvard University, I wish her the best of luck. Through the course of these two years, she has been an integral part of my office and I have no doubt that she will accomplish anything she sets her mind to. "El futuro pertenece a quienes creen en sus propios sueños. As Eleanor Roosevelt said, "The future belongs to those who believe in their own dreams."

#### UNITED STATES-SINGAPORE FREE TRADE AGREEMENT IMPLEMENTATION ACT

SPEECH OF

**HON. HENRY A. WAXMAN**

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

*Thursday, July 24, 2003*

Mr. WAXMAN. Mr. Speaker, despite serious reservations, I will support the U.S. Free Trade Agreements (FTA) with Chile and Singapore. I support these agreements because I believe Chile and Singapore are valuable economic partners and strategic international allies. I have serious concerns, however, that the agreements also have a number of provisions that, while acceptable in the case of Chile and Singapore, set bad precedents for the future.

Chile and Singapore are important markets for U.S. products and investment. As anchors of trade in Southeast Asia and Latin America, they are advanced economies with political openness and a growing middle class. The FTAs before us today are valuable because they offer a reduction of barriers to trade in financial services with Singapore, which is the largest U.S. export sector in Asia, and strong market access for U.S. goods in Chile.

The agreements have strong intellectual property protections to fight the theft of copyrighted work and bold new measures to challenge digital and online piracy. These measures will help protect the driving force of creativity and innovation that has made entertainment and information technology the fastest growing sectors and the biggest exporting industries in the United States and in California.

At the same time, the agreements unfortunately include provisions that set the wrong tone for the future of U.S. trade policy.

I am concerned, for example, that because the U.S. Trade Representative's (USTR) model for automatic across the board tariff reductions in agriculture includes tobacco, the FTAs with Chile and Singapore could lead to an increase in cigarette consumption. Similarly, in the area of services, I am concerned that more exceptions should have been made for public utilities in order to safeguard government authority to protect consumers in the event of a crisis.

I am deeply disappointed that the Administration refused to include the U.S.-Jordan FTA standards that require the enforcement of environmental laws and the adoption of labor laws consistent with the five core International Labor Organization (ILO) standards. While laws in Chile and Singapore may already meet these standards, the omission sends a wrong message that the basic principles of international workers rights and environmental protection are slipping from the U.S. trade agenda.

I am also disappointed that the Administration did not use the Chile and Singapore FTAs as an opportunity to explicitly clarify that the investor-to-state provisions of the agreement do not give foreign companies greater rights than U.S. investors have under U.S. law. Even though the definition of expropriation in the Singapore and Chile FTAs is narrower than NAFTA, more changes are necessary to fix this distorted mechanism. Experience tells us that it is being abused to challenge U.S. regulatory and environmental law.

Moreover, I strenuously object to the FTAs' grant of extended monopoly periods to pharmaceutical companies, during which they will face no competition from generic drugs. Many people describe these protections as a simple extension of the Hatch-Waxman legislation that applies to the American market to our trading partners, but this is a serious distortion of the bill I co-authored. Hatch-Waxman was passed to overcome existing regulatory barriers in the U.S. market to the approval of low-cost generic drugs. In exchange for this new authority, the law provided specified periods of exclusive marketing and patent extensions to pharmaceutical companies, allowing them to recoup development costs. The length of any exclusive marketing period, during which no generic version could be marketed, was tied to the degree of innovation, I represented by the drug.

As a co-author of Hatch-Waxman, I cannot emphasize enough that this carefully balanced legislation represented a tailored solution to a specific regulatory problem in the United States. By adding these provisions to trade agreements, the USTR is heedlessly extending the exclusive marketing periods of Hatch-Waxman (and, in some cases, even more generous exclusive marketing periods) to other countries whose generic drug markets and health-care regulatory systems may look nothing like those in the United States. Although the impact of these protections may be limited in developed countries like Chile and Singapore it would be devastating in other countries that lack affordable and available life saving medicines and endure dangerous health epidemics.

In voting for this legislation, I want to make it clear that the Chile and Singapore agreements should not be adopted as "cookie-cutter" prototypes for other FTA's currently being negotiated. The economic, social, and political diversity of Central America, Morocco, Australia and the other countries slated for inclusion in the Free Trade Agreement of the Americas and the Southern Africa Customs Union are simply too diverse to be forced in the Chile and Singapore mold.

International trade has the potential to raise the standard of living and quality of life for millions of people around the world. To achieve this, however, we must work for progressive,